

Approximating Chromatic Sum Coloring of Bipartite Graphs in Expected Polynomial Time

¹ A.S. Asratian <arasr@mai.liu.se>

² N.N. Kuzyurin <nnkuz@ispras.ru>

¹ Linkopings Universitet, Department of Mathematics, Sweden, 581 83,

² Institute for System Programming of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 25, Alexander Solzhenitsyn st., 109004, Moscow, Russia

Abstract. It is known that if complexity class P is not equal to NP the sum coloring problem cannot be approximated within $1+\epsilon$ for some positive constant ϵ .

We consider finite, undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Let $G=(V,E)$ be a graph. By a coloring of G we mean a mapping c of V to the numbers $1, 2, \dots, |V|$. A coloring c is proper if $c(v)$ is not equal to $c(u)$ whenever the vertices u and v are adjacent.

Let $S(G,c)$ is the sum of $c(v)$ over all vertices v . By a chromatic sum of G we mean the number $S(G)=\min S(G,c)$ where minimum is taken over all proper colorings c of G .

The problem of finding $S(G)$ is called the sum coloring problem.

It was shown that the sum coloring problem is NP-complete.

A graph G is called bipartite if the set of vertices of G can be partitioned into two non-empty sets V_1 and V_2 such that every edge of G has one end in each of the sets.

For a number b , we say that an algorithm A approximates the chromatic sum within factor b over graphs on n vertices, if for every such graph G the algorithm A outputs a proper coloring c , such that $S(G,c)$ is not greater than $b S(G)$.

It is known that there exists $27/26$ -approximation polynomial algorithm for the chromatic SUM COLORING PROBLEM on any bipartite graph. On the other side, it was shown that here exists $\epsilon > 0$, such that there is no $(1+\epsilon)$ -approximation polynomial algorithm for the sum coloring problem on bipartite graphs, unless P is not equal to NP.

In this paper we consider the problem of developing an $(1+\epsilon)$ -approximation algorithm for the sum coloring of bipartite graphs which is polynomial in the average case for arbitrary small ϵ . We prove the existence of such algorithm.

Keywords: sum coloring problem, bipartite graphs, expected polynomial time

DOI: 10.15514/ISPRAS-2015-27(5)-11

For citation: Asratian A.S., Kuzyurin N.N. Approximating Chromatic Sum Coloring of Bipartite Graphs in Expected Polynomial Time. Trudy ISP RAN/Proc. ISP RAS, vol. 27, issue 5, 2015, pp. 191-198 (in Russian). DOI: 10.15514/ISPRAS-2015-27(5)-11.

1. Introduction

Let $G=(V_1, V_2, E)$ be a bipartite graph with $n+m$ vertices such that $|V_1|=m$, $|V_2|=n$, $m \leq n$. By a coloring we mean a mapping:

$$c: V_1 \cup V_2 \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n+m\}.$$

A coloring is proper if $c(v) \neq c(u)$ whenever $(u, v) \in E$.

Let $S(G, c) = \sum_{v \in V} c(v)$. By a chromatic sum we mean $S(G) = \min_c S(G, c)$

where minimum is taken over all proper colorings of G . The problem of finding $S(G)$ is called the SUM COLORING PROBLEM.

The notion of chromatic sum was first introduced in [6] where it was shown that the SUM COLORING PROBLEM is NP-complete on arbitrary graphs. A few b -approximation algorithms which find a coloring c with $S(G, c) \leq b \cdot S(G)$ were presented. In [7] a $10/9$ -approximation polynomial algorithm for the SUM COLORING PROBLEM on any bipartite graph was described. This result was improved in [8] where an $27/26$ -approximation algorithm for the same problem was constructed. On the other side, in [7] the authors have shown that there exists $\epsilon > 0$, such that there is no $(1+\epsilon)$ -approximation polynomial algorithm for the SUM COLORING PROBLEM on bipartite graphs, unless $P = NP$.

In this paper we present for any positive ϵ an $(1+\epsilon)$ -approximation algorithm for this problem with expected polynomial time. The probabilistic distribution is uniform over all bipartite graphs with N vertices, $N = n+m$, $m \leq n$. Note that the first example of approximation algorithm with expected polynomial time guaranteeing approximation ratio better than inapproximability threshold in the worst case was presented in [9]. Probabilistic analysis of algorithms for random graphs is the focus of much research now [1-5, 9].

2. Approximation scheme with expected polynomial time

Let $N = n+m$. We consider now a straightforward approach testing all possible colorings of G and choosing the one with the best possible color sum.

Algorithm 1. Test all possible vertex colorings of a bipartite graph and choose a proper coloring with minimum color sum.

Lemma 1. The time complexity of Algorithm 1 is $O(N^N) = O((2n)^{2n})$.

Let δ be a positive number, $0 < \delta < 1$ and

$$V'_1 = \{v \in V_1 : (1-\delta) \frac{m}{2} \leq \deg v \leq (1+\delta) \frac{m}{2}\},$$

$$V'_2 = \{v \in V_2 : (1-\delta)\frac{n}{2} \leq \deg v \leq (1+\delta)\frac{n}{2}\},$$

$$\bar{V}'_1 = V_1 \setminus V'_1,$$

$$\bar{V}'_2 = V_2 \setminus V'_2.$$

2.1 Algorithm VERTEX-COLOR.

Input: A bipartite graph $G = (V_1, V_2, E)$ such that $|V_1| = m$, $|V_2| = n$, $m \leq n$, and a parameter $\varepsilon > 0$.

Output: A proper coloring c of G such that $S(G) \leq S(G, c) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)S(G)$.

1. If $\varepsilon \leq \max\{40n^{-0.5}, n^{-0.2}, 50n^{-0.3}\}$ then goto 7.

2. If $m \leq n^{0.8}$ then goto 7.

3. Set $\delta = \min\{\frac{1}{50}, \frac{\varepsilon}{50} - n^{-0.3}\}$.

4. Count the number $t_1 = |\bar{V}'_1|$, and $t_2 = |\bar{V}'_2|$.

5. If $t_1 > \sqrt{n}$ or $t_2 > n^{0.4}$ then goto 7.

6. Color V_2 by color 1 and color V_1 by color 2 and STOP.

7. Run Algorithm 1 and STOP.

Theorem 1. For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ Algorithm VERTEX-COLOR finds a proper coloring within $1 + \varepsilon$ of the optimum color sum in expected polynomial time.

Proof. Note that at step 2 and step 5 of the algorithm we get $S(G, c) = n + 2m$ using very simple coloring strategy. The main idea of the proof is to extract sufficiently large almost regular bipartite subgraph $G' = (V'_1, V'_2, E')$ of G such that for any $v \in V'_1$ $(1-\delta')r \leq \deg v \leq (1+\delta')r$, and for any $v \in V'_2$ $(1-\delta')k \leq \deg v \leq (1+\delta')k$. Such an almost regular subgraph can guarantee a tight lower bound on $S(G)$ close to the upper bound $S(G) \leq n + 2m$. The main difficulty is to estimate the probability that the size of such subgraph is large enough.

We use m' and n' for denoting $|V'_1|$ and $|V'_2|$ respectively.

Lemma 2. For any $0 < \delta' < \frac{1}{2}$ and an induced subgraph $G' = (V'_1, V'_2, E')$ as above

$$n' + 2m' - 10\delta'm' \leq S(G') \leq n' + 2m'.$$

Proof of Lemma 2. The upper bound is evident (we color V'_1 by color 2 and color V'_2 by color 1). To prove the lower bound we use the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} (1+\delta')r \sum_{v \in V'_1} c(v) + (1+\delta')k \sum_{v \in V'_2} c(v) &\geq \sum_{e=(u,v) \in E'} (c(u) + c(v)) \geq \\ &\geq 3 |E'| \geq 3r(1-\delta')m'. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the inequality

$$\sum_{v \in V'_1} c(v) + \frac{k}{r} \sum_{v \in V'_2} c(v) \geq 3m' \frac{1-\delta'}{1+\delta} \geq 3m'(1-2\delta').$$

Adding to both parts of the inequality $(1-\frac{k}{r}) \sum_{v \in V'_2} c(v)$ and taking into account that $c(v) \geq 1$ for any v we obtain that for any proper coloring c of G'

$$S(G', c) = \sum_{v \in V'_1} c(v) + \sum_{v \in V'_2} c(v) \geq 3m' - 6\delta'm' + (1-\frac{k}{r}) \sum_{v \in V'_2} c(v) \geq$$

$$\geq 2m' + m' - 6\delta'm' + (1-\frac{k}{r})n' = 2m' + n' + m' - 6\delta'm' - \frac{k}{r}n' \geq$$

$$2m' + n' + m' - 6\delta'm' - m' - 4\delta'm' = n' + 2m' - 10\delta'm'.$$

Here we used the inequality $m'r(1+\delta') \geq n'k(1-\delta')$ which for any

$0 < \delta' < \frac{1}{2}$ implies

$$\frac{k}{r}n' \leq m' \frac{1+\delta'}{1-\delta'} = m'(1 + \frac{2\delta'}{1-\delta'}) \leq m'(1+4\delta').$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

Now we estimate the size of G' .

Lemma 3. There is $c > 0$ depending on δ such that

$$Pr\{|\bar{V}'_2| \geq \sqrt{n}\} \leq \exp\{\sqrt{n} \log n - cn^{3/2}\}.$$

$$Pr\{|\bar{V}'_1| \geq n^{0.4}\} \leq \exp\{n^{0.4} \log n - cn^{1.2}\}.$$

Proof. We need the following lemma.

Lemma ([5]). Let x_1, \dots, x_n be independent random variables such that x_i takes two values: 0 and 1, and $Pr\{x_i = 1\} = p$, $Pr\{x_i = 0\} = 1-p$.

Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ and $EX = np$. Then the following inequalities hold:

for any $\delta > 0$

$$Pr\{X - EX < -\delta EX\} \leq \exp\{-(\delta^2/2)EX\},$$

for any $0 < \delta < 1$

$$Pr\{X - EX > \delta EX\} \leq \exp\{-(\delta^2/3)EX\}.$$

Using this Lemma we have for $v \in V'_1$:

$$Pr\{d(v) \leq n(1-\delta)2\} \leq \exp\{-(\delta^2/2)n/2\},$$

$$Pr\{d(v) \geq n(1+\delta)2\} \leq \exp\{-(\delta^2/3)n/2\}.$$

We give the proof for $\overline{V'}_2$. The proof for $\overline{V'}_1$ is similar.

To do this we estimate the following probability:

$$Pr\{|\overline{V'}_2| \geq k\} \leq n \cdot \underset{k}{Pr\{fixed\ k_1\ vertices\ v\ in\ \overline{V'}_2\ have\ d(v) \leq (1-\delta)n/2\}}.$$

$$Pr\{fixed\ k_2\ vertices\ v\ in\ \overline{V'}_2\ have\ d(v) \geq (1+\delta)n/2\},$$

where $k = k_1 + k_2$. Using the Lemma and taking into account independence of the corresponding events we have

$$Pr\{fixed\ k_1\ vertices\ v\ in\ \overline{V'}_2\ have\ d(v) \leq (1-\delta)n/2\} \leq$$

$$\exp\{-(\delta^2/3)k_1m/2\} \leq \exp\{-cmk_1\},$$

$$Pr\{fixed\ k_2\ vertices\ v\ in\ \overline{V'}_2\ have\ d(v) \geq (1+\delta)m/2\} \leq$$

$$\exp\{-(\delta^2/3)k_2m/2\} \leq \exp\{-cmk_2\},$$

where c depends on δ .

Letting in the last inequalities $k = n^{0.4}$ we obtain

$$Pr\{|\overline{V'}_2| \geq k\} \leq n \cdot \underset{k}{\exp\{-cm(k_1 + k_2)\}} \leq$$

$$\exp\{k \log n - cmk\} \leq \exp\{n^{0.4} \log n - cn^{1.2}\}.$$

To finish the proof of Theorem 1 it is necessary to estimate the approximation ratio of the algorithm **VERTEX-COLOR** and its expected running time.

2.2 Approximation ratio

If the algorithm terminates at step 2 then we use the inequality

$$n + m \leq S(G) \leq n + 2m.$$

This gives that for the proper coloring c obtained at step 2

$$\begin{aligned} S(G, c) &= n + 2m \leq S(G) \cdot \frac{n + 2m}{n + m} = S(G) \left(1 + \frac{m}{n + m}\right) \leq \\ &\leq S(G)(1 + n^{-0.2}) \leq S(G)(1 + \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

because $\varepsilon > n^{-0.2}$ (in the opposite case the algorithm always finds an optimal solution at step 7).

Because at step 7 we always find an optimal solution it is sufficient to estimate approximation ratio for step 6. To do this we use Lemma 2. If the algorithm terminates at step 6 then $t_1 \leq \sqrt{n}$ and $t_2 \leq n^{0.4}$. Thus we have $n' = n - t_1 \geq n - \sqrt{n}$, $m' = m - t_2 \geq m - \sqrt{n}$. Because the degree of a vertex in G' can decrease by at most \sqrt{n} we can estimate δ' as follows:

$$\deg v \geq (1 - \delta) \frac{m}{2} - \sqrt{n} = (1 - \delta') \frac{m}{2},$$

which implies $\delta' = \delta + \frac{2\sqrt{n}}{m}$.

By Lemma 2

$$n + 2m - 10\delta'm - t_1 - t_2 \leq S(G') \leq S(G) \leq n + 2m.$$

This implies the inequality

$$n + 2m - 10\delta m - 23\sqrt{n} \leq S(G) \leq n + 2m,$$

and then the inequality

$$(n + 2m) \left(1 - 10\delta - \frac{25}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \leq S(G) \leq n + 2m.$$

Thus, for the coloring c that the algorithm outputs at step 6 the following inequality holds

$$S(G, c) \leq S(G) \left(1 - 10\delta - \frac{25}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{-1}.$$

Now we use the following technical lemma.

Lemma. Let $0 < \delta < \min\{\frac{1}{50}, \frac{\varepsilon}{50}\}$, $\varepsilon > 40n^{-0.5}$. Then

$$\left(1 - 10\delta - \frac{25}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{-1} \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Proof. We have

$$(1 - 10\delta - \frac{25}{\sqrt{n}}) \cdot (1 + \varepsilon) \geq 1$$

This is equivalent to

$$\varepsilon - 10\delta(1 + \varepsilon) - \frac{25}{\sqrt{n}}(1 + \varepsilon) =$$

$$\varepsilon - (1 + \varepsilon)(10\delta + \frac{25}{\sqrt{n}}) \geq 0.$$

This implies

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} \geq 10\delta + \frac{25}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Taking into account the inequality $\delta < \varepsilon/50$ we have

$$n \geq \frac{1200}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

This inequality follows from the condition of the Lemma: $\varepsilon > 40n^{-0.5}$.

2.3 Expected running time

Step 4 is performed in quadratic (in n) time. By Lemmas 1 and 3 the expected time of step 7 is at most

$$O((2n)^{2n}) \exp\{\sqrt{n} \log n - cn^{1.2}\} \leq$$

$$c \exp\{2n \log 2n + \sqrt{n} \log n - cn^{1.2}\} \rightarrow 0$$

as n tends to infinity.

References

- [1]. B. Bollobas, Random graphs, second ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [2]. B. Bollobas, The chromatic number of random graphs, Combinatorica, 1988, v. 8, c. 49-55.
- [3]. A. Frieze, C. McDiarmid, Algorithmic theory of random graphs, Random Structures and Algorithms, Jan.-March 1997, v. 10, n. 1-2, c. 5-42.
- [4]. L. Kucera, The greedy coloring is a bad probabilistic algorithm, J. of Algorithms, Dec. 1991, v. 12, n. 4, c. 674-684.
- [5]. R. Motwani and P. Raghavan, Randomized algorithms, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [6]. E. Kubicka, A.J. Schwenk, An introduction to chromatic sums, Proc. of ACM Computer Science Conference, 1989, c. 39-45.
- [7]. A. Bar-Noy, G. Kortsarz, Minimum color sum of bipartite graphs, J. of Algorithms, 1998, v. 28, c. 339-365.

- [8]. K. Giaro, R. Janczewski, M. Kubale, M. Malafiejski, A 27/26-approximation algorithm for the chromatic sum coloring of bipartite graphs, Proc. APPROX 2002, LNCS v. 2462, c. 135-145.
- [9]. M. Krivelevich, V.H. Vu, Approximating the independence number and the chromatic number in expected polynomial time, J. Combin. Optimization, 2002, v. 6, c. 143-155.

Приближенный алгоритм для хроматической раскраски двудольных графов за полиномиальное в среднем время

¹А.С. Асратян <arasr@mai.liu.se>

²Н.Н. Кузюрин <nknkuz@ispras.ru>

¹ Линчёпингский университет, факультет математики, Швеция, 581 83

² Институт системного программирования РАН, Россия, 109004, Москва, ул. Солженицына, 25

Аннотация. Известно что если $P \neq NP$ то задача аппроксимации суммарной раскраски двудольных графов не может быть осуществлена в полиномиальное время с точностью $1 + \varepsilon$ для некоторой константы ε . Мы предлагаем для сколь угодно малого $\varepsilon > 0$ приближенный алгоритм для данной проблемы который работает за полиномиальное в среднем время.

Ключевые слова: проблема хроматической раскраски, двудольные графы, полиномиальное в среднем время

DOI: 10.15514/ISPRAS-2015-27(5)-11

Для цитирования: Асратян А.С., Кузюрин Н.Н. Приближенный алгоритм для хроматической раскраски двудольных графов за полиномиальное в среднем время. Труды ИСП РАН, том 27, вып. 5, 2015 г., стр. 191-198. DOI: 10.15514/ISPRAS-2015-27(5)-11.

Литература

- [1]. B. Bollobas, Random graphs, second ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [2]. B. Bollobas, The chromatic number of random graphs, Combinatorica, 1988, v. 8, c. 49-55.
- [3]. [1] A. Frieze, C. McDiarmid, Algorithmic theory of random graphs, Random Structures and Algorithms, Jan.-March 1997, v. 10, n. 1-2, c. 5-42.
- [4]. L. Kucera, The greedy coloring is a bad probabilistic algorithm, J. of Algorithms, Dec. 1991, v. 12, n. 4, c. 674-684.
- [5]. R. Motwani and P. Raghavan, Randomized algorithms, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [6]. E. Kubicka, A.J. Schwenk, An introduction to chromatic sums, Proc. of ACM Computer Science Conference, 1989, c. 39-45.
- [7]. A. Bar-Noy, G. Kortsarz, Minimum color sum of bipartite graphs, J. of Algorithms, 1998, v. 28, c. 339-365.
- [8]. K. Giaro, R. Janczewski, M. Kubale, M. Malafiejski, A 27/26-approximation algorithm for the chromatic sum coloring of bipartite graphs, Proc. APPROX 2002, LNCS v. 2462, c. 135-145.
- [9]. M. Krivelevich, V.H. Vu, Approximating the independence number and the chromatic number in expected polynomial time, J. Combin. Optimization, 2002, v. 6, c. 143-155.